

REPRESENTATIONS OF n -LIE ALGEBRAS

A.S. DZHUMADIL'DAEV

ABSTRACT. Let $V_n = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{n+1} \rangle$ be a vector products n -Lie algebra with n -Lie commutator $[e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}] = (-1)^i e_i$ over the field of complex numbers. Any finite-dimensional n -Lie V_n -module is completely reducible. Any finite-dimensional irreducible n -Lie V_n -module is isomorphic to a n -Lie extension of so_{n+1} -module with highest weight $t\pi_1$ for some nonnegative integer t .

1. INTRODUCTION

An algebra $A = (A, [\ , \])$ with a n -multiplication $[\ , \] : \wedge^n A \rightarrow A, (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto [a_1, \dots, a_n]$ is called it n -Lie, if

$$[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, [a_n, \dots, a_{2n-1}]] = \sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} (-1)^i [[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_i], a_n, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{2n-1}],$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-1} \in A$ [3].

To any n -Lie algebra one can associate a Lie algebra $L(A) = \wedge^{n-1} A$, called *basic* Lie algebra, with a multiplication given by

$$[a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1}, b_1 \wedge \dots \wedge b_{n-1}] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} [[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_i], b_1, \dots, \hat{b}_i, \dots, b_{n-1}],$$

or by

$$[a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1}, b_1 \wedge \dots \wedge b_{n-1}] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+n} [a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_n, [a_i, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}]],$$

where $\hat{b}_i$ means that the element b_i is omitted.

Example 1. Let $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ under Jacobian map $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto \det(\partial_i(a_j))$. Then A is n -Lie [3], [4] and its basic algebra is isomorphic to divergenceless vector fields algebra S_{n-1} [6].

Example 2. Let V_n be $(n+1)$ -dimensional vector space with a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$. Then V_n under a n -Lie multiplication $[e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}] = (-1)^i e_i$ can be endowed by a structure of n -Lie algebra. This algebra is called *vector products n -Lie algebra*. For $n = 2$ we obtain well known vector products algebra on K^3 . From results of [3] it follows that $L(V_n) \cong so_{n+1}$.

Let $U(L(A))$ be an universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $L(A)$. Let $Q(A)$ be an ideal of $U(L(A))$ generated by elements

$$X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}} = [a_1, \dots, a_n] \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2} \\ - \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n-2} (-1)^{i+n} (a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{a}_i \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) (a_i \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}),$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \in A$. Let $U(A)$ be a factor-algebra of $U(L(A))$ by the ideal $Q(A)$.

A module M of the Lie algebra $L(A)$, or $U(L(A))$ -module, is called *module of n -Lie algebra A* , if $Q(A)$ acts on M in a trivial way. Such n -Lie modules have the following property: if A is any n -Lie algebra and M is any n -Lie module over A , then their semi-direct sum $A + M$ is once again n -Lie algebra. This is the main reason why we consider $L(A)$ -modules with the condition $Q(A)M = 0$ as n -Lie modules.

One can expect that the n -Lie algebra V_n plays in theory of n -Lie algebras a role like sl_2 in theory of Lie algebras. The aim of our paper is to describe all finite-dimensional representations of vector products n -Lie algebra over the field of complex numbers.

Let $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{[n+1/2]}$ be the fundamental weights for so_{n+1} . Recall that $so_4 \cong sl_2 \oplus sl_2$ and any irreducible so_4 -module can be realized as $sl_2 \oplus sl_2$ -module $M_{t,r} = M_t \otimes M_r$, where M_t denotes $(t+1)$ -dimensional irreducible sl_2 -module with highest weight t .

The main result of our paper is the following

Theorem 1.1. $K = \mathbf{C}$.

i) *Any finite-dimensional n -Lie representation of $V_n, n \geq 2$, is completely reducible.*

ii) *Let $M_{t,r}$ be an irreducible so_4 -module with highest weight (t, r) . Then $M_{t,r}$ can be prolonged to 3-Lie module over V_3 , if and only if $t = r$.*

iii) *Let M be an irreducible module of Lie algebra $so_{n+1}, n > 3$, with highest weight α . Then M can be prolonged to n -Lie module of V_n , if and only if α has a form $t\pi_1$, for some nonnegative integer t .*

So, we obtain complete description of finite-dimensional n -Lie V_n -modules over $\mathbf{C}$. Our result shows that any irreducible n -Lie representation of V_n is ruled by some nonnegative integer t as in the Lie case $V_2 \cong sl_2$. Call t mentioned in theorem 1.1 *n -Lie highest weight*.

Corollary 1.2. ($K = \mathbf{C}, n > 2$) *The dimension of any irreducible n -Lie V_n -module with highest weight t is equal to $\frac{n+2t-1}{n+t-1} \binom{n+t-1}{t}$.*

For example, the dimension of any irreducible V_3 -module with highest weight t is equal to $(t+1)^2$.

Remark. If $n = 3$ and if we allow considering infinite-dimensional modules, then studying of V_3 -representations can be reduced to the problem on describing of gl_λ -modules. A definition of complex size matrices algebra gl_λ see [2], [5]. One can prove that $U(V_3)$ has a sub-algebra isomorphic to $gl_\lambda \otimes gl_\lambda$.

2. n -LIE MODULES

Let $A = (A, \omega)$ be a n -Lie algebra with multiplication ω . This means that $\omega \in C^n(A, A)$ is a skew-symmetric polylinear map $\omega : \wedge^n A \rightarrow A$ with n arguments and satisfies the following n -Lie identity (Leibniz rule)

$$\omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, \omega(a_n, \dots, a_{2n-1})) = \sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} \omega(a_n, \dots, a_{i-1}, \omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_i), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{2n-1}),$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-1}$ are any elements of A [4] The Leibniz rule can be written as follows

$$\omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, \omega(a_n, \dots, a_{2n-1})) = \sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} (-1)^{n+i} \omega(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_i), a_n, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{2n-1}),$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-1} \in A$. Here the notation $\hat{a}_i$ means that a_i is omitted.

Let $End A$ be a space of linear maps $A \rightarrow A$. Recall that an operator $D \in End A$ is called *derivation*, if

$$D(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, D(a_i), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n),$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$. Let $Der A$ be a space of derivations of A . According n -Lie identity for any $n - 1$ elements $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A$ one can correspond adjoint derivation $ad \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\} \in Der A$ by the rule

$$ad \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}a = \omega(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Call a derivation $D \in Der A$ *interior*, if there exists some $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A$, such that $D = ad \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$. Denote by $Int A$ a space of interior derivations of A . Then $Der A$ is a Lie algebra under commutator $(D_1, D_2) \mapsto [D_1, D_2] := D_1 D_2 - D_2 D_1$ and $Int A$ is its Lie ideal. Notice that the commutator of $Int A$ can be given by

$$[ad \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}, ad \{b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}\}] = ad \{c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}\},$$

where

$$\{c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} \omega(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_i), b_1, \dots, \hat{b}_i, \dots, b_{n-1}).$$

By skew-symmetric condition $\{c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}\}$ can be defined also by

$$\{c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}\} = - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \omega(\omega(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, a_i), a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{n-1}).$$

Moreover, the $(n-1)$ -th exterior power $L(A) = \wedge^{n-1} A$ under commutator

$$[a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1}, b_1 \wedge b_2 \wedge \dots \wedge b_{n-1}] = c_1 \wedge c_2 \wedge \dots \wedge c_{n-1}$$

can be endowed by structure of Lie algebra (write $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ instead of $a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1}$). The adjoint map

$$\wedge^{n-1} A \rightarrow \text{Int } A, \quad a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1} \mapsto \text{ad } \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\},$$

gives us a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Following L. Takhtajan call $L(A)$ a *basic* Lie algebra of A .

A vector space M is called *A-module*, or *n-Lie module over A*, if there are given n polylinear maps

$$\omega_i : A \otimes \dots \otimes A \otimes \underset{i}{M} \otimes A \otimes \dots \otimes A \rightarrow M, i = 1, \dots, n,$$

such that

- $\omega_i(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, m, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$ is skew-symmetric by all a -type arguments

•

$$\omega_i(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, m, a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_n) = -\omega_{i+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, m, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_n),$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and

•

$$\omega_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, \omega_n(a_n, \dots, a_{2n-2}, m)) =$$

$$\sum_{i=n}^{2n-2} \omega_n(a_n, \dots, a_{i-1}, \omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_i), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{2n-2}, m)$$

$$+ \omega_n(a_n, \dots, a_{2n-2}, \omega_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, m)),$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \in A$ and $m \in M$,

•

$$\omega_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, m, \omega(a_n, \dots, a_{2n-1})) =$$

$$\sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} \omega_i(a_n, \dots, a_{i-1}, \omega_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, m, a_i), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{2n-1}),$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_n, \dots, a_{2n-1} \in A$ and $m \in M$.

If M is an A -module, we will say that there are given representation $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ of A on the vector space M .

So, any module of n -Lie algebra is an usual module of Lie algebra, if $n = 2$. If $n > 2$, then any module of n -Lie algebra A is a Lie module of the basic Lie algebra $L(A)$ under representation $\rho : \wedge^{n-1} A \rightarrow \text{End } M$ defined by $\rho(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1})(m) = \omega_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, m)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}) = \\ & \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+n} \rho(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{a}_i \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) \rho(a_i \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \in A$. If M is a Lie module over Lie algebra $L(A)$ that satisfies the condition (1) for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \in A$, then we will say that Lie module structure on M over $L(A)$ can be *prolonged* to a n -Lie module structure over n -Lie algebra A , or shortly that Lie module M can be prolonged to n -Lie module.

Example. For any n -Lie algebra A its adjoint module, i.e., a module with vector space A and the action $(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1})b = [a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b]$ is n -Lie module.

For Lie algebra L denote by $U(L)$ its universal enveloping algebra. Let $Q(A)$ be an ideal of $U(L(A))$ generated by elements

$$\begin{aligned} & X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}} = \omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2} \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{a}_i \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) (a_i \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\bar{U}(A)$ be factor-algebra of $U(L(A))$ over $Q(A)$.

Any Lie module of $L(A)$ can be prolonged to n -Lie module, if and only if it is trivial $Q(A)$ -module. In other words, there are one-to-one correspondence between n -Lie modules and $\bar{U}(A)$ -modules. In this sense $\bar{U}(A)$ can be considered as universal enveloping algebra of n -Lie algebra A .

Let A be a n -Lie algebra and M be an A -module. For simplifying notations we will write $\omega(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, m, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$ instead of $\omega_i(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, m, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$. Then n -Lie module conditions can be written in a unique way

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, \omega(b_n, \dots, b_{2n-1})) = \\ & \sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} \omega(b_n, \dots, b_{i-1}, \omega(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_i), \dots, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_{2n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

for any $b_1, \dots, b_{2n-1} \in A + M$.

Let $\tilde{A} = A + M$ be a direct sum of vector spaces A and M . Endow $\tilde{A}$ by the structure of n -multiplication $\tilde{\omega}$ by the following rules

$$\tilde{\omega}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \omega(a_1, \dots, a_n),$$

if $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$,

$$\tilde{\omega}(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, m, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) = \omega_i(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, m, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n),$$

if $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots \in A$, $m \in M$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and

$$\tilde{\omega}(b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0,$$

if at least two elements of the set $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset Q$ belongs to M .

It is easy to check that $\tilde{\omega}$ is skew-symmetric and that $\tilde{\omega}$ satisfies the Leibniz rule. So, $\tilde{A}$ is n -Lie, if A is n -Lie and M is n -Lie module over A . Call $\tilde{A}$ *semi-direct sum* or *split extension* of n -Lie algebra A by A -module M .

Suppose that Q is a n -Lie algebra with abelian ideal M , such that Q/M is n -Lie. Then M has natural structure of n -Lie module over factor-algebra Q/M . Suppose that Q has subalgebra A isomorphic to Q/M . Then Q is isomorphic to the semi-direct sum $A + M$.

Let M be a n -Lie module over n -Lie algebra A . Let M_1 be a subspace of M , such that $\omega_i(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, m, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{2n-1}) \in N$, for any $m \in M_1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{2n-1} \in A$. In such case we will say that M_1 is *n -Lie submodule* or just submodule of M . Any module has trivial submodules 0 and M . Call M *irreducible*, if any its submodule is trivial. Call M *completely reducible*, if it can be decomposed to a direct sum of irreducible submodules. Equivalent definition: M is completely reducible, if for any submodule $N \subseteq M$ one can find additional submodule $S \subseteq M$, such that $M \cong N \oplus S$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be a n -Lie module over n -Lie algebra A . Then any submodule, any factor-module and dual module of M are also n -Lie modules. If M_1 and M_2 are n -Lie modules over A , then their direct sum $M_1 \oplus M_2$ is also n -Lie module.*

Proof. Consider M as a Lie module of Lie algebra $L(A)$. Suppose that N is a Lie submodule of M . Denote by ρ_M and ρ_N representations of $L(A)$ on modules M and N correspondingly. By our condition M is n -Lie module, therefore according (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_M(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}) = \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \rho_M(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{a}_i \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) \rho_M(a_i \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}), \end{aligned}$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \in A$. Since $\rho_N(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1})(m) = \rho_M(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1})(m)$, for any $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A$ and $m \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_N(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}) = \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \rho_N(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{a}_i \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) \rho_N(a_i \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}), \end{aligned}$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \in A$. Therefore, N has a structure of n -module over n -Lie algebra A . By similar reasons M/N is also n -Lie module.

It is evident that $M_1 \oplus M_2$ under action $[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, m_1 + m_2] = [a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, m_1] + [a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, m_2]$ is n -Lie module.

Corollary 2.2. *Let M be a n -Lie module over n -Lie algebra A . Then*

- *M is irreducible, if and only if M is irreducible as a Lie module over Lie algebra $L(A)$.*
- *M is completely reducible, if and only if M is completely reducible as a Lie module over Lie algebra $L(A)$.*

Proof. The first statement is evident. Irreducibility of M as a Lie module of $L(A)$ and irreducibility of M as n -Lie module are equivalent by definition.

Prove the second one. Let N and S be submodules of M , such that $M \cong N \oplus S$. Then N and S are Lie submodules of M as modules over the Lie algebra $L(A)$ and $M \cong N \oplus S$ as Lie modules. If M is completely reducible as Lie module, then for any Lie submodule $N \subseteq M$ one can find another Lie submodule S , such that $M \cong N \oplus S$. By proposition 2.1 N and S are also n -Lie submodules. So, $M \cong N \oplus S$ as n -Lie modules.

3. VECTOR PRODUCTS n -LIE ALGEBRA AND ITS MODULES

Let V_n be a vector products n -Lie algebra over $\mathbf{C}$. It is $(n+1)$ -dimensional and the multiplication on a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is given by

$$[e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}] = (-1)^i e_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

For example, V_2 is the vector products algebra on $\mathbf{C}^3$ and as a Lie algebra it is isomorphic to sl_2 .

Recall that the Lie algebra of skew-symmetric $n \times n$ -matrices so_n , $n \geq 3$, is semi-simple over $K = \mathbf{C}$. More exactly, it is simple, if $n \neq 4$ and has type $B_{[n/2]}$, if n is odd and type $D_{n/2}$, if n is even. If $n = 4$, then $so_4 \cong A_1 \oplus A_1$. For $n = 3$, $so_3 \cong A_1$.

For $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}$ denote by $[\lambda]$ a maximal integer, such that $[\lambda] \leq \lambda$. Let $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{[n/2]}$ be the fundamental weights of so_n and $M(\alpha)$ be the irreducible so_n -module with highest weight α . Any highest weight can be characterized by $[n/2]$ -tuple of nonnegative integers $\{s_1, \dots, s_{[n/2]}\}$,

namely $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{[n/2]} \alpha_i \pi_i$. There is another way to describe highest weights.

Suppose that a sequence of integers or half-integers $\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{[n/2]}\}$ satisfies the following conditions

- $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{[n/2]} \geq 0$, if n is odd and $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_{n/2}|$, if n is even.
- $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, [n/2]$, are nonnegative integers, where $\alpha_i = \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}, i = 1, \dots, [n/2] - 1$ and $\alpha_{[n/2]} = 2\lambda_{[n/2]}$, if n is odd and $\alpha_{n/2} = \lambda_{n/2-1} + \lambda_{n/2}$, if n is even.

Then any irreducible finite-dimensional so_n -module with highest weight α can be restored by a such sequence λ .

Let M be an irreducible so_n -module. For $n \neq 4$, set $q(M) = r$, if its highest weight α satisfies the condition $\alpha_r \neq 0$, but $\alpha_{r'} = 0$, for any $r' > r$. For $n = 4$, set $q(M) = 1$, if so_4 -module is isomorphic to $M_{t,t}$, for some nonnegative integer t and $q(M) = 2$, if $M \cong M_{t,r}$, for some $t \neq r$.

Let α be a highest weight for so_n -module and $n \neq 4$. Then $q(\alpha) = 1$, if and only if α has the form $k\pi_1$ for some nonnegative integer k .

Any finite-dimensional irreducible sl_2 -module is isomorphic to $(l+1)$ -dimensional irreducible module M_l with highest weight l . Recall that any highest weight of sl_2 can be identified with a some nonnegative integer. As we mentioned above $so_4 \cong sl_2 \oplus sl_2$. Any irreducible so_4 -module M can be characterized by two nonnegative integers (t, r) . Namely, $M \cong M_{t,r} = M_t \otimes M_r$, where the action of $a + b$ on $m + s$, where a is an element of the first copy of sl_2 and b is an element of the second copy of sl_2 and $m' \in M_t, m'' \in M_r$, is given by

$$(a + b)(m' \otimes m'') = a(m') \otimes m'' + m' \otimes b(m'').$$

Notice that in this realization to so_4 -module M with $q(M) = 1$ corresponds the $sl_2 \oplus sl_2$ -module $M_{t,t}$, for $t \geq 0, t \in \mathbf{Z}$.

In [4] is proved that V_n is simple and any derivation of V_n is interior. Therefore, $\wedge^{n-1} V_n \cong \text{Int } V_n$. More detailed observation of his proof shows that takes place the following

Theorem 3.1. *For any $n \geq 2$,*

$$\text{Der } V_n \cong \text{Int } V_n \cong so_{n+1}.$$

In particular,

$$L(V_2) \cong V_2 \cong sl_2,$$

$$L(V_3) \cong V_3 \wedge V_3 \cong sl_2 \oplus sl_2,$$

$$L(V_n) \cong \wedge^{n-1} V_n \cong so_{n+1}, n \geq 4.$$

In other words, take place the following isomorphisms of Lie algebras

$$V_2 \cong A_1,$$

$$L(V_3) \cong A_1 \oplus A_1,$$

$$L(V_n) \cong B_{n/2}, \text{ if } n \geq 4 \text{ is even,}$$

$$L(V_n) \cong D_{(n+1)/2}, \text{ if } n \geq 4 \text{ is odd.}$$

Lemma 3.2. *The isomorphism of Lie algebras $L(V_n) \cong so_{n+1}$ can be given by*

$$e_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+1} \mapsto (-1)^{i+j+n+1} e_{ij}, \quad i < j.$$

Proof. Easy calculations.

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be so_{n+1} -module. Define quadratic elements R_{ijsk} of $U(so_{n+1})$ by*

$$R_{ijsk} = e_{ij}e_{sk} + e_{is}e_{kj} + e_{ik}e_{js}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, s, k \leq n+1.$$

Then M can be prolonged to n -Lie V_n -module, if and only if, $R_{ijsk}m = 0$, for any $m \in M$ and $1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j < s < k \leq n+1, i \notin \{j, s, k\}$.

Proof. Below we use the following notation. If $a, b, c, \dots$ are some vectors, then $\langle a, b, c, \dots \rangle$ denotes their linear span and $\{a, b, c, \dots\}$ denotes the set of these elements (order of elements are not important) and by $(a, b, c, \dots)$ we denote the vector with components $a, b, c, \dots$ (order of elements is important).

Notice that $X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}}$ is skew-symmetric under arguments $a_1, \dots, a_n$ and $a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-2}$. Therefore, $X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}} = 0$, if dimension of the subspace $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is less than n or dimension of the subspace $\langle a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-2} \rangle$ is less than $n-2$.

Suppose that $\dim \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle = n$.

Check that $X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}} = 0$, if $V_n \neq \langle a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \rangle$. We can assume that $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}$ are basic vectors. Suppose that $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} = \{e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. Since V_n does not coincide with the subspace $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \rangle$ and therefore, its dimension is less than $n+1$, we have $\{a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-2}\} = \{e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, \hat{e}_s, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$ for some $j, s \neq i, j < s$. Let for simplicity $a_1 = e_1, \dots, a_{i-1} = e_{i-1}, a_i = e_{i+1}, \dots, a_n = e_{n+1}$ and $(a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-2}) = (e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, \hat{e}_s, \dots, e_{n+1})$.

We have

$$\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \omega(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_n) = (-1)^i e_i.$$

Further

$$a_r \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{2n-2} = 0,$$

if $a_r \neq e_i, e_j, e_s$. Therefore,

$$(a_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{a}_r \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n) (a_r \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{2n-2}) = 0,$$

if $a_r \neq e_i, e_j, e_s$.

Let $f : \wedge^{n-1} V_n \rightarrow so_{n+1}$ be the isomorphism of Lie algebras constructed in lemma 3.2. Prolong it to the isomorphism of universal enveloping algebras $f : U(\wedge^{n-1} V_n) \rightarrow U(so_{n+1})$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \wedge (a_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{2n-2})) &= \\ f((-1)^i e_i \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_j \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_s \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+1}) &= \\ -f(e_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_j \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_s \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+1}) &= \\ (-1)^{j+s+n} e_{js}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+n} f(a_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{a}_r \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n) f(a_r \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{2n-2}) &= \\ (-1)^{n+j-1} f(e_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+1}) f(e_j \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_s \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+1}) &= \\ +(-1)^{n+s-1} f(e_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_s \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+1}) f(e_s \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_j \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_s \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+1}) &= \\ (-1)^{j+s+n+1} e_{ij} e_{is} + (-1)^{j+s+n} e_{is} e_{ij} &= \\ -(-1)^{j+s+n} [e_{ij}, e_{is}] = (-1)^{j+s+n} e_{js}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f(X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}}) = 0$, and $X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}} = 0$, if the subspace generated by $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}$ does not coincide with V_n .

Now suppose that V_n is generated by elements $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}$. As above we can assume that these elements are basic elements and $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1})$ and $(a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-2}) = (e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, \hat{e}_s, \dots, \hat{e}_k, \dots, e_{n+1})$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j < s < k \leq n+1, i \notin \{j, s, k\}$. Then

$$\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{2n-2} = 0,$$

since $e_i \in \{a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-2}\}$. Calculations as above show that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+n} f(a_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{a}_r \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n) f(a_r \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{2n-2}) &= \\ \pm R_{ijsk}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $f(X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}}) \in \langle R_{ijsk} : 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j, s, k \leq n+1 \rangle$.

Notice that R_{ijsk} is skew-symmetric by arguments j, s, k . Moreover,

$$R_{ijsk} = -e_{ij}[e_{is}, e_{ik}] - e_{is}[e_{ik}, e_{ij}] - e_{ik}[e_{ij}, e_{is}],$$

and,

$$R_{ijsk} = 0,$$

if $i \in \{j, s, k\}$.

So, so_{n+1} -module M can be prolonged to n -Lie module, if and only if $R_{ijsk}m = 0$, for any $m \in M, 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n+1$. $\square$

Denote by $U_r(L) = \langle x_1 x_2 \dots x_{r'} : x_i \in L, r' \leq r \rangle$ a subspace of $U(L)$ generated by products of r elements. Let $0 \subset U_1(L) = L \subset U_2(L) \subset \dots$ be an increasing filtration of $U(L)$ and $S(L)$ be a symmetric algebra of L . Endow $U(L)$ and $S(L) \cong gr U(L)$ by a structure of L -modules under adjoint action. Then $S(L) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} S^r(L)$, where $S^r(L) \cong U_r(L)/U_{r-1}(L)$ is a symmetric r -th power of adjoint representation. In particular, there is a natural imbedding $Q_2(A) = Q(A) \cap U_2(L(A)) \rightarrow S^2(Ad)$. One can establish the following isomorphisms of so_{n+1} -modules

$$Q_2(V_n) \cong \begin{cases} M(\pi_4), & \text{if } n \geq 10 \\ M(\pi_4 + \pi_5), & \text{if } n = 9 \\ M(2\pi_4), & \text{if } n = 8 \\ M(2\pi_4) + M(2\pi_3), & \text{if } n = 7 \\ M(2\pi_3), & \text{if } n = 6 \\ M(\pi_2 + \pi_3), & \text{if } n = 5 \\ M(\pi_1), & \text{if } n = 4 \\ \mathbf{C}, & \text{if } n = 3 \end{cases}$$

Below we use branching rules for irreducible modules corresponding to the imbedding $so_{n-1} \subset so_n$ given in [1].

The proof of theorem 1.1 is based on the following

Theorem 3.4. *Let $k > 1$.*

i) *Let $M = M(\alpha)$ be a finite-dimensional irreducible so_{2k+1} -module with highest weight $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \pi_i$. Then M as a module over Lie subalgebra so_{2k} has a submodule, isomorphic to $M(\bar{\alpha})$, where $\bar{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{\alpha}_i \pi_i$ and $\bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, k-1$, and $\bar{\alpha}_k = \alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k$.*

ii) *Let $M = M(\alpha)$ be a finite-dimensional irreducible so_{2k} -module with highest weight $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \pi_i$. Then M as a module over Lie subalgebra so_{2k-1} has a submodule, isomorphic to $M(\bar{\alpha})$, where $\bar{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \bar{\alpha}_i \pi_i$ and $\bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, k-2$, $\bar{\alpha}_{k-1} = \alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k$.*

Proof.

i) Take

$$\lambda_k = \alpha_k/2, \lambda_i = \sum_{j=i}^{k-1} \alpha_j + \alpha_k/2, 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

According branching theorem 12.1b [1] any so_{2k} -submodule of $M(\alpha)$ has weight of the form $\bar{\alpha}$, such that corresponding $\bar{\lambda}$ satisfies the following inequality

$$\lambda_1 \geq |\bar{\lambda}_1| \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{k-1} \geq |\bar{\lambda}_{k-1}| \geq \lambda_k \geq |\bar{\lambda}_k|.$$

The $\bar{\lambda}_j$ are integral or half-integral according to what the λ_j are. If we take $\bar{\lambda}_i := \lambda_i$, then such $\bar{\lambda}$ satisfies these conditions. Therefore, $M(\alpha)$ has so_{2k} -submodule isomorphic to $M(\bar{\alpha})$, where $\bar{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{\alpha}_i \pi_i$, $\bar{\alpha}_i = \bar{\lambda}_i - \bar{\lambda}_{i+1} = \alpha_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, and $\bar{\alpha}_k = \bar{\lambda}_{k-1} + \bar{\lambda}_k = \lambda_{k-1} + \lambda_k = \alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k$. So, the so_{2k+1} -module $M(\alpha)$ as so_{2k} -module has a submodule isomorphic to $M(\bar{\alpha})$, where $\bar{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_i \pi_i + (\alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k) \pi_k$.

ii) We have

$$\alpha_i = \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq k-1, \alpha_k = \lambda_{k-1} + \lambda_k.$$

By branching theorem 12.1a [1] any so_{2k-1} -submodule of $M(\alpha)$ is isomorphic to $M(\bar{\alpha})$, such that corresponding $\bar{\lambda}$ satisfies the following inequality

$$\lambda_1 \geq |\bar{\lambda}_1| \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{k-1} \geq |\bar{\lambda}_{k-1}| \geq |\lambda_k|.$$

The $\bar{\lambda}_j$ are integral or half-integral according to what the λ_j are. Notice that a sequence $\bar{\lambda}$ constructed by the following way satisfies these conditions

$$\bar{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

So, so_{2k} -module $M(\alpha)$ as so_{2k-1} -module has submodule $M(\bar{\alpha})$, where

$$\bar{\alpha}_i = \bar{\lambda}_i - \bar{\lambda}_{i+1} = \alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq k-2,$$

$$\bar{\alpha}_{k-1} = 2\bar{\lambda}_{k-1} = 2\lambda_{k-1} = \alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k.$$

Corollary 3.5. *Let $n > 4$ and M be irreducible so_n -module such that $q(M) > 1$. Then M as a module over subalgebra $so_{n-1} \subset so_n$ has a submodule isomorphic to $\bar{M}$, such that $q(\bar{M}) > 1$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that for irreducible module M with highest weight α , the condition $q(M) > 1$, is equivalent to the condition $\sum_{i>1} \alpha_i > 0$.

Let $\bar{\alpha}$ be highest weight of so_{n-1} , defined by $\bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, k-1$, and $\bar{\alpha}_k = \alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k$, if $n = 2k+1$, and $\bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, k-2$, $\bar{\alpha}_{k-1} = \alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k$, if $n = 2k$.

Notice that

$$\sum_{i>1} \bar{\alpha}_i = \sum_{i>1} \alpha_i + 2\alpha_{k-1} \geq \sum_{i>1} \alpha_i,$$

if $n = 2k+1, k > 1$ and

$$\sum_{i>1} \bar{\alpha}_i = \sum_{i>1} \alpha_i,$$

if $n = 2k, k > 2$.

By theorem 3.4 so_n -module $M = M(\alpha)$ as so_{n-1} -module has a submodule isomorphic to $\bar{M} = M(\bar{\alpha})$. If $q(M) > 1$, then $q(\bar{M}) > 1$. $\square$

Notice that gl_n can be realized as a Lie algebra of derivations of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of the form $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_{ij} x_i \partial_j$, $\lambda_{ij} \in K$. Its subalgebra so_n is generated by elements $e_{ij} = x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_i$. The set $\{e_{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ consists of basis of so_n . The multiplication on so_n can be given by

$$\begin{aligned} [e_{ij}, e_{sk}] &= 0, \quad \text{if } |\{i, j, s, k\}| = 4, \\ [e_{ij}, e_{is}] &= -e_{js}, [e_{ij}, e_{js}] = e_{is}, [e_{is}, e_{js}] = -e_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.6. *Let $M = M_{t,r}$ be an irreducible so_4 -module. Then M can be prolonged to 3-module over 3-Lie vector products algebra V_3 , if and only if $t = r$.*

Proof. The algebra so_4 has the basis $\{e_{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq 4\}$. Take here another basis $\{f_i : 1 \leq i \leq 6\}$, by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= (e_{12} + e_{34})/2, f_2 = (e_{13} - e_{24})/2, f_3 = (e_{14} + e_{23})/2, \\ f_4 &= (-e_{12} + e_{34})/2, f_5 = (e_{13} + e_{24})/2, f_6 = (-e_{14} + e_{23})/2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} [f_1, f_2] &= -f_3, [f_1, f_3] = f_2, [f_2, f_3] = -f_1, \\ [f_4, f_5] &= f_6, [f_5, f_6] = f_4, [f_6, f_4] = f_5, \\ [f_i, f_j] &= 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad j = 4, 5, 6. \end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{12} &= f_1 - f_4, e_{13} = f_2 + f_5, e_{14} = f_3 - f_6, \\ e_{23} &= f_3 + f_6, e_{24} = -f_2 + f_5, e_{34} = f_1 + f_4, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1234} &= e_{12}e_{34} - e_{13}e_{24} + e_{14}e_{23} = \\ &= f_1^2 - f_4^2 + f_2^2 - f_5^2 + f_3^2 - f_6^2 = \\ &= C_1 - C_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_1 = f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_3^2, \quad C_2 = f_4^2 + f_5^2 + f_6^2,$$

are Casimir elements of subalgebras $\langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle \cong sl_2$ and $\langle f_4, f_5, f_6 \rangle \cong sl_2$. Well known that any irreducible finite-dimensional sl_2 -module is uniquely defined by eigenvalue of the Casimir operator on this module. Therefore, $M_{t,r}$ is 3-Lie module, if and only if $t = r$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $n > 3$. Any irreducible so_{n+1} -module $M(t\pi_1)$ can be prolonged to n -Lie module of V_n . Let M be an irreducible so_{n+1} -module with $q(M) > 1$. Then M can not be prolonged to n -Lie module over n -Lie algebra V_n .*

Proof. Let $n > 3$. Let us consider realization of $M(t\pi_1)$ as a space of homogeneous polynomials $\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_t \leq n+1} \lambda_{i_1 \dots i_t} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_t}$.

By lemma 3.3 we need to check that

$$R_{ijsk}u = 0, \quad \text{for } u = x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_t},$$

for any $\{i, j, s, k\}$, such that $1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j \leq s \leq k \leq n+1, i \notin \{j, s, k\}$ and $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_t \leq n+1$.

Let $I = \{i, j, s, k\}$. Present u in the form vw , where $v = \prod_{l \in I \cap \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}} x_l$ and $w = \prod_{l \in \{i_1, \dots, i_t\} \setminus I} x_l$. Notice that

$$R_{ijsk}(vw) = R_{ijsk}(v)w.$$

Therefore it is enough to check that $R_{ijsk}(v) = 0$, for elements $v \in M(t\pi_1)$ of the form

$$v = x_i x_j x_s x_k, 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j < s < k \leq n+1, i \notin \{j, s, k\},$$

$$v = x_j x_s x_k, 1 \leq j \leq s \leq k \leq n+1,$$

$$v = x_i x_s x_k, 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq s \leq k \leq n+1,$$

$$v = x_j x_k, 1 \leq j \leq k \leq n+1,$$

$$v = x_i x_k, 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq k \leq n+1,$$

$$v = x_k, 1 \leq k \leq n+1,$$

$$v = x_i, 1 \leq i \leq n+1.$$

Let $i \neq j, s, k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijsk}(x_i x_j x_s x_k) &= \\ e_{ij}(x_i x_j x_s^2 - x_i x_j x_k^2) &+ e_{is}(x_i x_s x_k^2 - x_i x_j^2 x_s) + e_{ik}(x_i x_j^2 x_k - x_i x_s^2 x_k) = \\ e_{ij}(x_i x_j) x_s^2 - e_{ij}(x_i x_j) x_k^2 &+ e_{is}(x_i x_s) x_k^2 - e_{is}(x_i x_s) x_j^2 + e_{ik}(x_i x_k) x_j^2 - e_{ik}(x_i x_k) x_s^2 = \\ (x_i^2 - x_j^2) x_s^2 - (x_i^2 - x_j^2) x_k^2 &+ (x_i^2 - x_s^2) x_k^2 - (x_i^2 - x_s^2) x_j^2 + (x_i^2 - x_k^2) x_j^2 - (x_i^2 - x_k^2) x_s^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijsk}(x_j x_s x_k) &= \\ e_{ij}(x_j x_s^2 - x_j x_k^2) &+ e_{is}(x_s x_k^2 - x_j^2 x_s) + e_{ik}(x_j^2 x_k - x_s^2 x_k) = \\ e_{ij}(x_j) x_s^2 - e_{ij}(x_j) x_k^2 &+ e_{is}(x_s) x_k^2 - e_{is}(x_s) x_j^2 + e_{ik}(x_k) x_j^2 - e_{ik}(x_k) x_s^2 = \\ x_i x_s^2 - x_i x_k^2 &+ x_i x_k^2 - x_i x_j^2 + x_i x_j^2 - x_i x_s^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijsk}(x_i x_s x_k) &= \\ e_{ij}(x_i x_s^2 - x_i x_k^2) &+ e_{is}(-x_i x_j x_s) + e_{ik}(x_i x_j x_k) = \\ e_{ij}(x_i) x_s^2 - e_{ij}(x_i) x_k^2 &- e_{is}(x_i x_s) x_j + e_{ik}(x_i x_k) x_j = \\ -x_j x_s^2 + x_j x_k^2 - x_i^2 x_j &+ x_s^2 x_j + x_i^2 x_j - x_k^2 x_j = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$R_{ijsk}(x_s x_k) =$$

$$e_{ij}(x_s^2 - x_k^2) + e_{is}(-x_j x_s) + e_{ik}(x_j x_k) = -x_j^2 + x_s^2 = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijsk}(x_i x_k) &= \\ e_{ij}(x_i x_s) + e_{is}(-x_i x_j) &= -x_j x_s + x_s x_j = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$R_{ijsk}(x_k) = e_{ij}(x_s) + e_{is}(-x_j) = 0,$$

$$R_{ijsk}(x_i) = 0.$$

So, we have checked that $Q(V_n)M(t\pi_1) = 0$, if $n > 3$.

Suppose now that $q(M) > 1$. We need to prove that $R_{ijsk}m \neq 0$, for some $1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j < s < k \leq n+1$ and $m \in M$.

Let us use induction on $n \geq 3$. If $n = 3$, then by lemma 3.6 any irreducible so_{n+1} -module M with $q(M) > 1$ can not be prolonged to n -Lie module. Suppose that the statement is true for $n-1 \geq 3$. If $q(M) > 1$ for so_{n+1} -module M , then by corollary 3.5 there exists its so_n -submodule $\bar{M}$, such that $q(\bar{M}) > 1$. Then by inductive suggestion there exists some $R_{ijsk} \in Q(V_{n-1}) \subset U(so_n)$ and $m \in \bar{M}$, such that $R_{ijsk}m \neq 0$. Since $m \in \bar{M} \subseteq M$ and $R_{ijsk} \in U(so_n) \subset U(so_{n+1})$, this means that $R_{ijsk}m \neq 0$ as elements of M . So, we have proved that our statement for n .

Proof of theorem 1.1.

i) By theorem 3.1 Lie algebra $\wedge^{n-1}V_n \cong so_{n+1}$ is semi-simple. Therefore, by Weyl theorem and proposition 2.2, any any finite-dimensional n -Lie representation of V_n is completely reducible.

ii) and iii) For $n = 2$ our statements are evident. Let $n > 2$. By lemma 3.7 and lemma 3.6 $M(t\pi_1), n > 3$, or $M_{t,t}, n = 3$, is V_n -module for any nonnegative integer t and any module with $q(M) > 1$ can not be n -Lie module.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to INTAS foundation for support.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Boerner, *Group representations*, Berlin 1955.
- [2] J. Dixmier, *Quotients simples de l'algèbre envelopante de sl_2* , J. Algebra, **24**(1973), 551-564.
- [3] V.T. Filipov, *n -Lie algebras*, Sib. Mat. J. **26**(1985), 126-140.
- [4] V.T. Filipov, *On n -Lie algebra of jacobians*, Sib. Mat. J., **39**(1998), 660-669.
- [5] B. L. Feigin, *The Lie algebra $gl(\lambda)$ and the cohomologies of differential operators*, Uspechi Mathem. nauk, **43**(1988), No.2, 157-158.
- [6] A.S. Dzhumadil'daev, *Identities and derivations for jacobian algebras*, Proc. workshop "Quantization, deformations, and new homological and categorical methods in mathematical physics", Manchester, 2001.

INSTITUT DES HAUTES ÉTUDES SCIENTIFIQUES, BURES-SUR-YVETTE
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF KAZAKHSTAN, ALMATY
E-mail address: `askar@math.kz`